

A general view of the algebraic semantics of Łukasiewicz logic with product

Serafina Lapenta

Department of Mathematics, University of Salerno,
Via Giovanni Paolo II, 132 Fisciano (SA), Italy
slapenta@unisa.it

Ioana Leuştean

Department of Computer Science,
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bucharest,
Academiei nr.14, sector 1, C.P. 010014, Bucharest, Romania
ioana@fmi.unibuc.ro

Abstract

This paper aims to give a clear and comprehensive view of the relations between the various classes of MV-algebras with product operations. The algebraic hierarchy, from groups to algebras, can be transported by Γ -functors in order to provide the algebraic semantics for conservative extensions of Łukasiewicz logic. The MV-algebraic tensor product allows us to complete the picture with categorical adjunctions. On our way, we define the *tensor PMV-algebra* of a semisimple MV-algebra, inspired by the construction of the tensor algebra of a vector space. We further prove amalgamation properties and we translate all results in the framework of lattice-ordered groups.

Keywords: MV-algebra, tensor product, scalar extension property, tensor algebra, amalgamation property.

Introduction

Since their first appearance in the late Fifties [6], MV-algebras have grown to be a class of algebraic structures relevant in its own right, not only as the “standard semantics” for infinite-valued Łukasiewicz logic.

One of the main reasons for their notable growth can be found in the categorical equivalence with Abelian lattice-ordered groups with a strong unit, as established by D. Mundici in [31]. This valuable result laid the ground for a deep investigation of the natural hierarchy of structures that arises from MV-algebra, following the analogous line that connects groups, rings and algebras.

Indeed, starting from the Nineties, expansions of MV-algebras have been defined: one can find the notion of PMV-algebras [9, 29] (MV-algebras endowed with a "ring-like" product), Riesz MV-algebras [11] (MV-algebras endowed with a scalar multiplication), f MV-algebras [21] (MV-algebras endowed with both ring-like product and scalar product), MV-modules [10] (MV-algebras endowed with the action of a PMV-algebra on them). The initial motivation came from logic: since the standard MV-algebra on $[0, 1]$ is closed to the real product, it was natural to look for complete theories of $[0, 1]$ endowed with Łukasiewicz operations and product operations.

The above-mentioned structures have been investigated from the point of view of their category and their variety, and relevant results have been proved in all cases: categorical equivalences with rings, vector lattices, f -algebras and lattice-ordered modules; geometrical dualities with suitable categories of polyhedra¹; functional representation of free algebras and normal forms theorems [23]; a suitable probability theory through the notion of states, that extend finitely-additive probability measure on boolean algebras and proved to be the right framework for subjective probability *à la De Finetti* [33, 14].

It is only natural to ask if the connections between MV-algebras and their expansions can be seen on an higher level, not only as "language expansions", in a way that could lean towards other areas of mathematics.

In this spirit, we now conclude the investigation started in [22] where, using the MV-algebraic semisimple tensor product [32], we connect MV-algebras with Riesz MV-algebra and PMV-algebras with f MV-algebras by categorical adjunctions.

The main aim of this work is to give a clear and comprehensive picture of the relations between the aforementioned structures, and to do so with the classical construction of the *tensor algebra* of a vector space: indeed, inspired by this construction, in Section 2 we provide the technical tools needed in Section 3 to complete the work started in [22].

We henceforth define the *tensor PMV-algebra* of an MV-algebra, and apply the same construction to Riesz MV-algebras in order to obtain an f MV-algebra.

¹We urge the interested reader to consult [33] for a detailed account of this duality in the case of MV-algebras, and [24, 13] for other classes of algebras.

In Section 3 we lift these results to a categorical level, proving that our construction allow to obtain two pairs of adjoint functors, connecting MV-algebra with PMV-algebra and Riesz MV-algebras with f MV-algebras. Figure 8 frames our results in the existing literature and provides the complete picture for MV-algebras with products.

In Section 3.1 and Section 5 we give an example of the value of our constructions by itself, proving the amalgamation property for semisimple PMV-algebras, semisimple Riesz MV-algebras and semisimple f MV-algebras and obtaining characterization of the free objects in the categories involved.

Finally, in Section 4 we transfer all results to lattice-ordered structures via categorical equivalence. In this way we obtain new properties for groups, rings, vector lattices and algebras directly from MV-algebras.

1 Preliminaries

1.1 An overview of MV-algebras with product and Łukasiewicz logic

In this section we provide a short overview of MV-algebras and their expansion. Nonetheless, we urge the interested reader to consult [7, 33, 12] for an in-depth treatment.

MV-algebras are the algebraic counterpart of ∞ -valued Łukasiewicz logic. They are structures $(A, \oplus, *, 0)$, where $(A, \oplus, 0)$ is a commutative monoid, $*$ is an involution and the equations $(x^* \oplus y)^* \oplus y = (y^* \oplus x)^* \oplus x$ and $a \oplus 0^* = 0^*$ are satisfied for any $x, y, z \in A$. Any MV-algebra can be endowed with a lattice order and the standard model is the unit interval $[0, 1]$ with $x \oplus y = \min(x + y, 1)$ and $x^* = 1 - x$. The variety of MV-algebras is generated by the standard model and since $[0, 1]$ is closed to the real product, a fruitful research direction is the study of MV-algebras enriched with a product operation [9, 29, 30, 18, 11], which can be either a binary operation or a scalar multiplication.

If A, B, C are MV-algebras, a function $\omega : A \rightarrow B$ is called *linear* if $\omega(x \oplus y) = \omega(x) \oplus \omega(y)$ whenever $x \leq y^*$. A function $\beta : A \times B \rightarrow C$ is *bilinear* if it $\beta(-, y)$ and $\beta(x, -)$ are linear for any $x \in A$ and $y \in B$. These notions allow us to define the whole algebraic hierarchy of MV-algebras with product in a uniform way, as described in Table 1².

²A more general definition of PMV-algebras and f MV-algebras can be found in [9, 21] respectively. Nonetheless, the current paper focuses on unital and commutative structures.

Structure	Definition
$(P, \oplus, \cdot, *, 0)$ unital and commutative PMV algebra [9, 29]	$(P, \oplus, *, 0)$ MV-algebra $\cdot : P \times P \rightarrow P$ bilinear, $x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z$, $x \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot x = x$
$(R, \oplus, *, \{\alpha \mid \alpha \in [0, 1]\}, 0)$ Riesz MV- algebra [11]	$(R, \oplus, *, 0)$ MV-algebra $(\alpha, x) \mapsto \alpha x$ bilinear, $(\alpha \cdot \beta)x = \alpha(\beta x)$, $1x = x$
$(A, \oplus, \cdot, *, \{\alpha \mid \alpha \in [0, 1]\}, 0)$ unital and commutative f MV- algebra [21]	$(A, \oplus, \cdot, *, 0)$ unital PMV-algebra $(A, \oplus, *, \{\alpha \mid \alpha \in [0, 1]\}, 0)$ Riesz MV-algebra $\alpha(x \cdot y) = (\alpha x) \cdot y = x \cdot (\alpha y)$

Table 1. Algebraic hierarchy.

The present investigation is centred on the class of *semisimple* MV-algebras. Such algebras enjoy a crucial functional representation. Indeed, they are isomorphic to a separating MV-subalgebra of $[0, 1]$ -valued continuous functions defined over some compact Hausdorff space [7]. A PMV-algebra (Riesz MV-algebra or f MV-algebras) is semisimple if its MV-algebra reduct is semisimple³.

For all structures defined in Table 1 it is possible to give an equational characterization. In other words, PMV-algebra, Riesz MV-algebras and f MV-algebras are varieties, that we shall denote with $\mathbf{PMV}$, $\mathbf{RMV}$ and $\mathbf{FMV}$ respectively. As for MV-algebra, $\mathbf{RMV}$ is generated by its standard model $[0, 1]$, where the scalar operation coincides with the product of real numbers, while in the case of PMV-algebras and f MV-algebras, the standard model generates a proper sub-class, more specifically, the class of *semiprime* algebras, i.e. algebras defined by the quasi-identity “ $x^2 = 0$ implies $x = 0$ ” [18, 21]. Such quasi-varieties are denoted by $\mathbf{PMV}^+$ in the case of PMV-algebras and $\mathbf{FR}^+$ in the case of f MV-algebras.

The natural hierarchy of lattice-ordered structures we have introduced in Table 1 naturally reflects on the level of the logic. In [18] the logics $P\mathcal{L}$ and $P\mathcal{L}'$ are defined and they have PMV-algebras and $\mathbf{PMV}^+$ -algebras respectively as models. In [11] the logical system $\mathcal{RL}$ is defined, and its models are Riesz MV-algebras. Both $P\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{RL}$ are conservative extensions of Łukasiewicz logic, and $P\mathcal{L}'$ is obtained by $P\mathcal{L}$ adding an appropriate deduction rule. Finally, in [21] one can find the logical systems $\mathcal{FMV}\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{FMV}\mathcal{L}^+$, whose models are f MV-

³We remark that this holds in the case of unital PMV-algebras and unital f -MV-algebras. More detail on semisimplicity for non-unital f MV-algebras can be found in [21].

algebras and FR^+ -algebras. We remark that the former is obtained extending the union of $P\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{RL}$, while the latter extends the union of PL' and $\mathcal{RL}$.

Logic	Algebra	Completeness
$\mathcal{L}\mathbf{uk}$	MV-algebras	$[0, 1]_{MV}$ [34]
$P\mathcal{L}$	PMV-algebras	chain-completeness [18]
PL'	PMV^+ -algebras	$[0, 1]_{PMV}$ [18]
$\mathcal{RL}$	Riesz MV-algebras	$[0, 1]_{RMV}$ [11]
$\mathcal{FMV}\mathcal{L}$	fMV -algebras	chain-completeness [21]
$\mathcal{FMV}\mathcal{L}^+$	FR^+ -algebras	$[0, 1]_{fMV}$ [21]

Table 2. Logical systems.

By general results in Universal Algebra, free MV(Riesz, PMV^+ , FR^+)-algebras k -generated exists and they are the subalgebras of $([0, 1])^{[0, 1]^k}$ generated by the projection maps [16]. Moreover, they are the Lindenbaum-Tarski algebras (i.e. equivalence classes of formulas) of the corresponding logic.

More specifically, McNaughton's theorem [28] states that the free k -generated MV-algebra is (up to isomorphism) the algebra of functions from $[0, 1]^k$ to $[0, 1]$ that are piecewise linear with integer coefficients. In other words, any element of the Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra is a continuous function and there are finitely many affine linear functions such that in any point of the domain, it coincides with one of them. A similar result holds for Riesz MV-algebras [11, Theorem 11]. In this case the free object is the algebra of functions which are piecewise linear with *real* coefficients. In what follows we will denote the free k -generated MV-algebra by $\text{Free}(k)$, while $\text{Free}_R(k)$ denotes the free k -generated Riesz MV-algebra. In the case of PMV^+ -algebras and FR^+ -algebra the characterization of the free object in terms of piecewise functions is still open in the general case, and it is related to the long-standing Pierce Birkhoff conjecture [21].

One fundamental result in the theory of MV-algebras is their categorical equivalence with the category of Abelian lattice-ordered groups with strong unit [31]. An ℓu -group (G, u) is an Abelian lattice-ordered group with strong unit. We refer to [2] for all unexplained notions. Any subset $[0, u]_G = \{x \in G \mid 0 \leq x \leq u\}$ (called *unit interval*), is an MV-algebra with $x \oplus y = u \wedge (x + y)$, $x^* = u - x$. If $\mathbf{MV}$ is the category of MV-algebras and $\mathbf{auG}$ is the category of ℓu -groups equipped with morphisms that preserve the strong unit, then one defines a functor $\Gamma : \mathbf{auG} \rightarrow \mathbf{MV}$ by $\Gamma(G, u) = [0, u]_G$ and $\Gamma(h) = h|_{[0, u_1]_{G_1}}$, where (G, u) is an ℓu -group and $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{auG}$ between (G_1, u_1) and (G_2, u_2) . The functor Γ establishes a categorical equivalence between $\mathbf{auG}$

and $\mathbf{MV}$ [31]. Moreover, through Γ , semisimple MV-algebras correspond to Archimedean ℓu -groups. We shall denote by $\mathbf{MV}_{\text{ss}}$ the full subcategory of semisimple MV-algebras and by $\mathbf{auG}_a$ the full subcategory of Archimedean ℓu -groups.

Extending Γ , similar equivalences are proved for: PMV-algebras and a subclass of lattice-ordered rings with strong unit (unital ℓu -rings, shortly); Riesz MV-algebras and Riesz spaces (vector lattices) with strong unit; f MV-algebras and f -algebras with strong unit. The functors that give the equivalences are denoted by $\Gamma(\cdot)$, $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ and Γ_f respectively. See [2, 3] for details on the above mentioned structure and [9, 11, 21] for details on the categorical equivalences.

In Table 3, we set the notation of all categories of semisimple and Archimedean structures involved in this investigation. We remark that unital and semisimple PMV-algebras and f MV-algebras are commutative [1, 21]

Category	Objects
$\mathbf{uPMV}_{\text{ss}}$ $\mathbf{uR}_a$	unital and semisimple PMV-algebras, unital and Archimedean lattice-ordered rings with strong unit,
$\mathbf{RMV}_{\text{ss}}$ $\mathbf{uRS}_a$	Riesz MV-algebras, Riesz spaces with strong unit,
$\mathbf{ufMV}_{\text{ss}}$ $\mathbf{fuAlg}_a$	unital and semisimple f MV-algebras, unital and Archimedean f -algebras with strong unit.

Table 3. Categories of MV-algebras and related ℓ -structures.

There are obvious forgetful functors between the above defined categories and they commute with the Γ -type functors. A natural problem is to define appropriate left adjoints for the forgetful functors. We started this investigation in [22], where the main tool was the *semisimple tensor product of MV-algebras* [32] and, in particular, its *scalar extension property* [22], which will be discussed in the next subsection.

1.2 The tensor product of lattice-ordered structures

The classical construction of a tensor product has been defined for lattice-ordered structures by several authors. In [26], the author defines a ℓu -bilinear function as a map $\gamma : G \times H \rightarrow L$ between ℓu -groups (G, u_G) , (H, u_H) and (L, u_L) such that $\gamma(x, -)$ and $\gamma(-, y)$ are homomorphisms of ℓ -groups when x and y are positive and $\gamma(u_G, u_H) \leq u_L$.

Then the tensor product is an ℓu -group $(G \otimes_{\ell} H, u_G \otimes_{\ell} u_H)$ together with an ℓu -bilinear map $\gamma_{G,H} : G \times H \rightarrow G \otimes_{\ell} H$ uniquely characterized, up to

isomorphism, by universal property with respect to ℓ -groups [26, Theorem 3.1]. The map $\gamma_{G,H} : G \times H \rightarrow G \otimes_{\ell} H$ is defined by $\gamma_{G,H}(x, y) = x \otimes_{\ell} y$. The tensor product of Archimedean ℓ -groups, denoted by $\otimes_a$, was defined in [5]. Note then $\otimes_a$ is uniquely defined, up to isomorphism, by a universal property with respect to Archimedean structures.

The tensor product of MV-algebras was defined in [32] in both the general and the semisimple case. For two MV-algebras A and B , the tensor product $A \otimes_{MV} B$ is defined in [32] together with a universal bimorphism $\beta_{A,B} : A \times B \rightarrow A \otimes_{MV} B$. A *bimorphism* is a bilinear function that is $\vee$ -preserving and $\wedge$ -preserving in each component. In [32], the additional requirement $\beta(1, 1) = 1$ was imposed but, in the present approach, we eliminate this restriction. We shall also use in the sequel a slightly modified universal property of the MV-algebraic tensor product [19]. To state it, we need the notion of *interval algebra*.

An interval algebra of A is an MV-algebra $([0, a], \oplus_a, {}^{*a}, 0)$ where $a \in A$, $[0, a] = \{x \in A \mid 0 \leq x \leq a\}$ and the operations are defined by $x \oplus_a y = (x \oplus y) \wedge a$, $x {}^{*a} = x^* \odot a$ for any $x, y \in [0, a]$ [32]. In the following we use the notation $[0, a] \leq_i A$ in order to say that $[0, a]$ is an interval algebra of A .

For two MV-algebras A and B , let $A \otimes_{MV} B$ be the tensor product and $\beta_{A,B} : A \times B \rightarrow A \otimes_{MV} B$ is uniquely defined, up to isomorphism, by the following universal property:

for any MV-algebra C and for any bimorphism $\beta : A \times B \rightarrow C$, there is a unique homomorphism of MV-algebras $\omega : A \otimes_{MV} B \rightarrow [0, \beta(1, 1)] \leq_i C$ such that $\omega \circ \beta_{A,B} = \beta$.

For $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ we denote $a \otimes_{MV} b = \beta_{A,B}(a, b)$. As expected, $A \otimes_{MV} B$ is generated by $\beta_{A,B}(A \times B)$.

Since the class of semisimple MV-algebras is not closed to tensor products, the tensor product of semisimple MV-algebras is defined in [32] by

$$A \otimes B = (A \otimes_{MV} B) / \text{Rad}(A \otimes_{MV} B),$$

where $\text{Rad}(A \otimes_{MV} B)$ is the intersection of the maximal ideals of $A \otimes_{MV} B$. We recall that for $\otimes$ an important representation by means of continuous functions.

Theorem 1.1. [32, Theorem 4.3] *Let A, B be semisimple MV-algebras, i.e. there exists compact Hausdorff spaces X, Y such that $A \subseteq C(X)$ and $B \subseteq C(Y)$. Then $A \otimes B$ is an MV-subalgebra of $C(X \times Y)$. Moreover,*

$$A \otimes B = \{ \pi(a, b) \mid a \in A \subseteq C(X), b \in B \subseteq C(Y) \} \otimes_{MV} C(X \times Y)$$

where $\pi(a, b)$ is the usual product between functions.

Further properties of the semisimple tensor product of MV-algebras are proved in [22]. We summarize some of these results in the following.

Proposition 1.1. *Let A and B be semisimple MV-algebras. Then $A \otimes B \simeq B \otimes A$, that is, the tensor product of semisimple MV-algebras is commutative.*

Proof. It is a straightforward consequence of the functional representation from [32]. $\square$

Theorem 1.2. *The following hold.*

- 1) *If A is a Riesz MV-algebra and B is a semisimple MV-algebra, $A \otimes B$ is a Riesz MV-algebra.*
- 2) *If A and B are unital and semisimple PMV-algebras, $A \otimes B$ is a unital and semisimple PMV-algebra.*
- 3) *If A is a unital and semisimple fMV-algebra and P is a unital and semisimple PMV-algebra, $A \otimes P$ is a unital and semisimple fMV-algebra.*

Theorem 1.3. *If (G_A, u_A) , (G_B, u_B) are Archimedean ℓu -groups and A , B are semisimple MV-algebras such that $A \simeq \Gamma(G_A, u_A)$ and $B \simeq \Gamma(G_B, u_B)$ then $A \otimes B \simeq \Gamma(G_A \otimes_a G_B, u_A \otimes_a u_B)$.*

Finally, in [22] the authors use the results from Theorem 1.2 to define the following functors.

- $\mathcal{T}_\otimes : \mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{ss}} \rightarrow \mathbf{RMV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$ is defined by
 - $\mathcal{T}_\otimes(B) = [0, 1] \otimes B$, which is a semisimple Riesz MV-algebra by Theorem 1.2;
 - for any homomorphism of MV-algebras $f : A \rightarrow B$, $\mathcal{T}_\otimes(f) = \tilde{f}$ where $\tilde{f} : [0, 1] \otimes A \rightarrow [0, 1] \otimes B$ is the unique Riesz MV-algebra homomorphism such that $\tilde{f} \circ \iota_A = \iota_B \circ f$, which exists by [22, Corollary 3.1].
- $\mathcal{F}_\otimes : \mathbf{uPMV}_{\mathbf{ss}} \rightarrow \mathbf{ufMV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$ is defined by
 - $\mathcal{F}_\otimes(P) = [0, 1] \otimes P$, which is a unital, commutative and semisimple fMV-algebra by Theorem 1.2;
 - for any homomorphism of PMV-algebras $h : P_1 \rightarrow P_2$, $\mathcal{F}_\otimes(h) = h^\#$ is the homomorphism of fMV-algebras defined in [22, Proposition 4.3], that satisfies the condition $h^\# \circ \iota_1 = \iota_2 \circ h$.
- From $\mathbf{ufMV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$ to $\mathbf{uPMV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$ and from $\mathbf{RMV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$ to $\mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$ we have the usual forgetful functor $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Theorem 1.4. *[22] $(\mathcal{T}_\otimes, \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{R}})$ and $(\mathcal{F}_\otimes, \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{R}})$ are two pairs of adjoint functors.*

By categorical equivalence, the adjunctions $(\mathcal{T}_{\otimes}, \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{R}})$ and $(\mathcal{F}_{\otimes}, \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{R}})$ naturally transfer to lattice-ordered structures [22].

Indeed, we obtain an adjunction between $\mathbf{auG}_{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\mathbf{uRS}_{\mathbf{a}}$, denoted by $(\mathcal{T}_{\otimes a}, \mathcal{U}_{(\ell\mathbb{R})})$ and an adjunction between $\mathbf{uR}_{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\mathbf{fuAlg}_{\mathbf{a}}$, denoted by $(\mathcal{F}_{\otimes a}, \mathcal{U}_{(\ell\mathbb{R})})$.

Figure 1 frames our results into the existing literature and gives a complete account of the relations between the categories of interest.




Figure 1. The categorical setting.

2 The semisimple tensor PMV-algebra of a semisimple MV-algebra

As Figure 1 lacks of the adjoint functors connecting MV-algebras and PMV-algebras, Riesz MV-algebras and f MV-algebras, in this section we provide the tools needed to complete it. The key ingredient will be an “MV-algebraic” version of the classical construction of the *tensor algebra*.

We firstly prove that the semisimple tensor product of MV-algebras is associative. We recall again that any semisimple MV-algebra is isomorphic with a subalgebra of $C(X)$ – the MV-algebra of continuous and $[0, 1]$ -valued functions defined on X – for a suitable compact and Hausdorff space X .

Proposition 2.1. *Let A, B, C be semisimple MV-algebras and X, Y, Z suitable spaces such that $A \subseteq C(X)$, $B \subseteq C(Y)$, $C \subseteq C(Z)$. Then $A \otimes (B \otimes C) = (A \otimes B) \otimes C = \langle a \cdot b \cdot c \mid a \in A, b \in B, c \in C \rangle \subseteq C(X \times Y \times Z)$.*

Proof. Let M be the MV-subalgebra of $C(X \times Y \times Z)$ generated by $a \cdot b \cdot c$, where $\cdot$ is the usual product between functions. By Theorem 1.1, $(A \otimes B) \otimes C =$

$\langle f \cdot c \mid f \in A \otimes B, c \in C \rangle$, the MV-algebra generated by the product of $f \in A \otimes B$ and $c \in C$. We want to prove that $\langle f \cdot c \mid f \in A \otimes B, c \in C \rangle = M$. Trivially $M \subseteq \langle f \cdot c \mid f \in A \otimes B, c \in C \rangle$. We prove the other inclusion by induction on the construction of $f \in A \otimes B$.

(i) If $f = a \otimes b = a \cdot b$, then it is trivial: $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot b \cdot c \in M$.

(ii) Let f be in $A \otimes B$ such that $f \cdot c \in M$. Then $f^* \cdot c = (\mathbf{1} - f) \cdot c = c - f \cdot c \in M$ by induction hypothesis.

(iii) Let $f = f_1 \oplus f_2$ be in $A \otimes B$ such that $f_1 \cdot c$ and $f_2 \cdot c$ belongs to M . Since we deal with subalgebras of continuous functions, it is easily seen that the product distributes over $\wedge$, and therefore we have

$$f \cdot c = (f_1 \oplus f_2) \cdot c = (f_1 + (f_1^* \wedge f_2)) \cdot c = f_1 \cdot c + (f_1^* \cdot c \wedge f_2 \cdot c)$$

which belongs to M by induction hypothesis and (ii).

Therefore $M = (A \otimes B) \otimes C$. In the same way we prove that $M = A \otimes (B \otimes C)$ and the claim is settled. $\square$

Corollary 2.1. *The tensor product of semisimple MV-algebras is associative.*

Remark 2.1. In order to prove the same result for $\otimes_{MV}$, we need an analogous of Theorem 1.1, which relies on the functional representation of semisimple MV-algebras and Hölder's theorem for Archimedean unital ℓ -groups. A possible solution for the general case is still not clear to the authors.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a semisimple MV-algebra and X the Hausdorff and compact space such that $A \subseteq C(X)$. We define:

$$T^1(A) = A, \quad T^n(A) = T^{n-1}(A) \otimes A$$

where $\otimes$ is the semisimple tensor product. By Proposition 2.1,

$$T^n(A) = \langle f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot f_n \mid f_i \in A, i = 1 \dots n \rangle \subseteq C(X^n),$$

and $\underbrace{1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1}_n = \underbrace{1 \cdot \dots \cdot 1}_n$ is the top element of $T^n(A)$ for every n .

For any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \leq m$ we define

- $\epsilon_{n,n}$ as the identity homomorphism on $T^n(A)$;
- $\epsilon_{n,m} : T^n(A) \rightarrow T^m(A)$, by $\epsilon_{n,m}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \otimes (1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1)$,

where by associativity $T^m(A) \simeq T^n(A) \otimes T^{m-n}(A)$. By [22, Proposition 2.1], $\epsilon_{n,m}$ is the embedding in the semisimple tensor product and $\epsilon_{m,k} \circ \epsilon_{n,m} = \epsilon_{n,k}$.

We remark that any $T^n(A)$ is semisimple by construction.

$(T^n(A), \epsilon_{n,m})$ is a direct system, therefore we consider the disjoint union

$$\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T^n(A),$$

and we define an equivalence relation on it by

$$(x, n) \sim (y, m) \text{ iff there exists } k \geq n, m \text{ such that } \epsilon_{n,k}(x) = \epsilon_{m,k}(y).$$

The quotient MV-algebra $T(A) = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T^n(A) / \sim$ is the direct limit of the direct system, and $\epsilon_{n,A} : T^n(A) \rightarrow T(A)$ is the canonical morphism that maps each element in its equivalence class. When there is no confusion, we will denote $\epsilon_{n,A}$ simply by ϵ_n .

We will call $T(A)$ the *Tensor PMV-algebra* of the MV-algebra A .

Lemma 2.1. *$T(A)$ is a semisimple MV-algebra.*

Proof. Suppose that there exist an infinitesimal element $\mathbf{x} \in T(A)$. It follows that $n\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{x}^*$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, therefore $n\mathbf{x} \odot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This comes to the existence of naturals m, l, k such that $\mathbf{x}$ is the equivalence class of (x, m) , $\mathbf{0}$ is the equivalence class of $(0, l)$ and $n\epsilon_{m,k}(x) \odot \epsilon_{m,k}(x) = \epsilon_{l,k}(0)$. This entails that $\epsilon_{m,k}(x)$ is infinitesimal in the semisimple MV-algebra $T^k(A)$, a contradiction. $\square$

Remark 2.2. The above construction is the definition of the direct limit of a direct system in category theory, specialized to our framework. Therefore the limit $(T(A), \epsilon_n)$ enjoys the universal property [4, Chapter III, § 7.6]. Moreover, by [16, § 21], $\epsilon_m \circ \epsilon_{n,m} = \epsilon_n$ for any $n \leq m$. That is, the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T^n(A) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{n,m}} & T^m(A) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{m,k}} & T^k(A) \\ & \searrow \epsilon_n & \downarrow \epsilon_m & \swarrow \epsilon_k & \\ & & T(A) & & \end{array}$$

Figure 2. Direct limit.

Notation 2.1. For any $\mathbf{a} \in T^n(A)$ and any $\mathbf{b} \in T^m(A)$ in order to avoid confusion, we denote the bimorphism π from Theorem 1.1 by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{n,m} : T^n(A) \times T^m(A) &\rightarrow T^{n+m}(A) \subseteq C(X^{n+m}), \\ \gamma_{n,m}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m) &= \mathbf{a}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mathbf{b}(y_1, \dots, y_m). \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma collects some technical properties of the maps $\epsilon_{n,m}$ and $\gamma_{n,m}$. All proofs rely on the functional representation of the algebras $T^k(A)$, for any semisimple MV-algebra A .

Lemma 2.2. *For any $n, m, k \in \mathbb{N}$, the following hold:*

- (1) $\gamma_{n,m}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}_m) = \epsilon_{n,n+m}(\mathbf{a})$, with $\mathbf{a} \in T^n(A)$ and $\mathbf{1}_m$ top element in $T^m(A)$, that is unit function in $C(X^m)$.
- (2) $\epsilon_{n+m} = \epsilon_{m+n}$ and $\epsilon_{n+(m+l)} = \epsilon_{(n+m)+l}$;

- (3) $\gamma_{n,m}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \gamma_{m,n}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$, for any $\mathbf{a} \in T^n(A)$ and $\mathbf{b} \in T^m(A)$;
(4) If $n \leq m$, $\gamma_{n,m+k}(\mathbf{a}, \gamma_{m,k}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c})) = \gamma_{n+m,k}(\gamma_{n,m}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{c})$, for any $\mathbf{a} \in T^n(A)$, $\mathbf{b} \in T^m(A)$ and $\mathbf{c} \in T^k(A)$;
(5) If $n \leq m$, $\gamma_{m,k}(\epsilon_{n,m}(\mathbf{a}), \mathbf{b}) = \epsilon_{n+k,m+k}(\gamma_{n,k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}))$.

Proof. (1) It is straightforward by definition of γ -maps and ϵ -maps.

(2) By Proposition 2.1, $T^n(A) \otimes T^m(A) \simeq T^{n+m}(A) \simeq T^m(A) \otimes T^n(A)$, and $T^{n+(m+k)}(A) \simeq T^{(n+m)+k}(A)$. Then the result is straightforward by the universal property of the direct limit.

(3) We recall that any $T^l(A)$ is a subset of $C(X^l)$. Moreover, $\gamma_{n,m}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} \in C(X^{n+m})$ and $\gamma_{m,n}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{a} \in C(X^{m+n})$. Since $X^{n+m} \simeq X^{m+n}$, the conclusion follows by the commutativity of the product of functions.

(4) $\gamma_{n,m+k}(\mathbf{a}, \gamma_{m,k}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c})) = \mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{c}) \in C(X^{n+(m+k)})$ and $\gamma_{n+m,k}(\gamma_{n,m}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{c}) = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{c} \in C(X^{(n+m)+k})$. Since $X^{n+(m+k)} \simeq X^{(n+m)+k}$, the conclusion follows by the associativity of the product of functions.

(5) By (1), (3) and (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{m,k}(\epsilon_{n,m}(\mathbf{a}), \mathbf{b}) &= \gamma_{(m-n)+n,k}(\gamma_{n,m-n}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}_{m-n}), \mathbf{b}) = \\ &= \gamma_{(m-n)+n,k}(\gamma_{m-n,n}(\mathbf{1}_{m-n}, \mathbf{a}), \mathbf{b}) = \gamma_{m-n,n+k}(\mathbf{1}_{m-n}, \gamma_{n,k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})) = \\ &= \gamma_{n+k,m-n}(\gamma_{n,k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{1}_{m-n}) = \epsilon_{n+k,m+k}(\gamma_{n,k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.2. *For any MV-algebra A , $T(A)$ is a semisimple and unital PMV-algebra.*

Proof. We define the product as follows. For any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in T(A)$ there exist $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbf{x} = \epsilon_n(\mathbf{a})$, with $\mathbf{a} \in T^n(A)$ and $\mathbf{y} = \epsilon_m(\mathbf{b})$, with $\mathbf{b} \in T^m(A)$. Then

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = (\epsilon_{n+m} \circ \gamma_{n,m})(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}).$$

We first need to prove that the operation is well defined. Let $\mathbf{c} \in T^l(A)$ and $\mathbf{d} \in T^k(A)$ be elements such that $(\mathbf{a}, n) \sim (\mathbf{c}, l)$ and $(\mathbf{b}, m) \sim (\mathbf{d}, k)$. This means that we can assume, without loss of generality, $\mathbf{a} = \epsilon_{l,n}(\mathbf{c})$ and $\mathbf{b} = \epsilon_{k,m}(\mathbf{d})$. Then, applying Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{n+m}(\gamma_{n,m}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})) &= \epsilon_{n+m}(\gamma_{n,m}(\epsilon_{l,n}(\mathbf{c}), \epsilon_{k,m}(\mathbf{d}))) \\ &= \epsilon_{n+m}(\epsilon_{m+l,m+n}(\gamma_{l,m}(\mathbf{c}, \epsilon_{k,m}(\mathbf{d})))) = \epsilon_{m+l}(\gamma_{m,l}(\epsilon_{k,m}(\mathbf{d}), \mathbf{c})) \\ &= \epsilon_{m+l}(\epsilon_{k+l,m+l}(\gamma_{l,k}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}))) = \epsilon_{l+k}(\gamma_{l,k}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})). \end{aligned}$$

We now prove that $T(A)$ endowed with the product defined above is a PMV-algebra. That is, we need to prove that $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mapsto \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ is bilinear, that the product is associative and $\mathbf{1}$ is the unit.

To prove bilinearity, let $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{y}$ be elements in $T(A)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_1 &= \epsilon_n(\mathbf{a}_1) \text{ with } \mathbf{a}_1 \in T^n(A), & \mathbf{x}_2 &= \epsilon_m(\mathbf{a}_2) \text{ with } \mathbf{a}_2 \in T^m(A) \\ \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 &\text{ defined (i.e. } \mathbf{x}_1 \leq \mathbf{x}_2^* = 0), & \mathbf{y} &= \epsilon_k(\mathbf{c}) \text{ with } \mathbf{c} \in T^k(A). \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $n \leq m$. Therefore,

$$\epsilon_n(\mathbf{a}_1) + \epsilon_m(\mathbf{a}_2) = \epsilon_m(\epsilon_{n,m}(\mathbf{a}_1)) + \epsilon_m(\mathbf{a}_2) = \epsilon_m(\epsilon_{n,m}(\mathbf{a}_1) + \mathbf{a}_2),$$

being any map involved an homomorphism of MV-algebras. Hence, by definition of $\cdot$,

$$(\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2) \cdot \mathbf{y} = \epsilon_{m+k}(\gamma_{m,k}(\epsilon_{n,m}(\mathbf{a}_1) + \mathbf{a}_2), \mathbf{b}))$$

and since $\gamma_{m,k}$ is a bimorphism,

$$\gamma_{m,k}(\epsilon_{n,m}(\mathbf{a}_1) + \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{b}) = \gamma_{m,k}(\epsilon_{n,m}(\mathbf{a}_1), \mathbf{b}) + \gamma_{m,k}(\mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{b}).$$

By Lemma 2.2 (5) we have $\gamma_{m,k}(\epsilon_{n,m}(\mathbf{a}_1), \mathbf{b}) = \epsilon_{n+k,m+k}(\gamma_{n,k}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{b}))$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2) \cdot \mathbf{y} &= \epsilon_{m+k}(\epsilon_{n+k,m+k}(\gamma_{n,k}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{b}))) + \epsilon_{m+k}(\gamma_{m,k}(\mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{b})) = \\ &= \epsilon_{n+k}(\gamma_{n,k}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{b})) + \mathbf{x}_2 \cdot \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}_2 \cdot \mathbf{y}. \end{aligned}$$

One can prove in the same way that $\mathbf{y} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2) = \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{x}_2$.

Associativity follows directly from Lemma 2.2 (2) and (4).

Finally, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1}_k$ the top elements of $T(A)$ and $T^k(A)$ respectively. It follows $\epsilon_k(\mathbf{1}_k) = \mathbf{1}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\mathbf{x} \in T(A)$, such that $\mathbf{x} = \epsilon_n(\mathbf{a})$ with $\mathbf{a} \in T^n(A)$ and let m be a positive integer such that $\mathbf{1} = \epsilon_m(\mathbf{1}_m)$. We have

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{1} = \epsilon_{n+m}(\gamma_{n,m}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}_m)) = \epsilon_{n+m}(\epsilon_{n,n+m}(\mathbf{a})) = \epsilon_n(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{x}.$$

On the other direction follows from Lemma 2.2 (1) and (3), then $T(A)$ is unital. Moreover, it is a semisimple PMV-algebra since it is unital and semisimple as MV-algebra. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *Any map $\epsilon_n : T^n(A) \rightarrow T(A)$ is an embedding.*

Proof. It is straightforward by [16, § 21, Lemma 2]. $\square$

Theorem 2.1. *Let A be a semisimple MV-algebra. For any semisimple and unital PMV-algebra P and for any homomorphism of MV-algebras $f : A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{(\cdot)}(P)$ there exists a homomorphism of PMV-algebras $f^\sharp : T(A) \rightarrow P$ such that $\tilde{f} \circ \epsilon_{1,A} = f$.*

Proof. We define the following maps.

$$\lambda_1 = \tilde{\lambda}_1 = f,$$

$\lambda_2 : A \times A \rightarrow P$, $\lambda_2(a_1, a_2) = f(a_1) \cdot f(a_2)$. Since P is a unital PMV-algebra, λ_2 is a bimorphism and $\lambda_2(1_A, 1_A) = 1_P$. Then there exists a homomorphism of MV-algebras $\tilde{\lambda}_2 : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ such that $\tilde{\lambda}_2(a_1 \otimes a_2) = f(a_1) \cdot f(a_2)$.

$\lambda_3 : (A \otimes A) \times A \rightarrow P$, $\lambda_3(\mathbf{x}, a_2) = \tilde{\lambda}_2(\mathbf{x}) \cdot f(a_2)$. λ_3 is a bimorphism and again $\lambda_3(1_A \otimes 1_A, 1_A) = 1_P$. Then there exists a homomorphism of MV-algebras $\tilde{\lambda}_3 : A \otimes A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ such that $\tilde{\lambda}_3(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3) = f(a_1) \cdot f(a_2) \cdot f(a_3)$.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get by induction a homomorphism of MV-algebras $\tilde{\lambda}_n : T^n(A) \rightarrow P$ such that $\tilde{\lambda}_n(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = f(a_1) \cdot \dots \cdot f(a_n)$.

Since they trivially coincide on generators, $\tilde{\lambda}_m \circ \epsilon_{n,m} = \tilde{\lambda}_n$ for any $n \leq m$.

We have the following situation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T^n(A) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{n,m}} & T^m(A) \\
 & \searrow \epsilon_n & \swarrow \epsilon_m \\
 & T(A) & \\
 \tilde{\lambda}_n \swarrow & \vdots & \searrow \tilde{\lambda}_m \\
 & P &
 \end{array}$$

Figure 3. Universal property.

Since $(T(A), \epsilon_n)$ is the direct limit for $(T^n(A), \epsilon_{n,m})$, there exists a homomorphism of MV-algebras $\tilde{f} : T(A) \rightarrow P$ such that $\tilde{f} \circ \epsilon_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n$, and then $\tilde{f} \circ \epsilon_1 = \tilde{\lambda}_1 = f$.

Finally, the fact that $\tilde{f}$ is an homomorphism of PMV-algebra is a direct consequence of [8, 17], where the authors prove that in any Archimedean f -rings the ring structure is generated by the additive group, and therefore any homomorphism of groups for the group reduct is an homomorphism of rings. Hence the same applies for unital and semisimple PMV-algebras. Since both $T(A)$ and P are unital and semisimple PMV-algebras the claim is settled. $\square$

Remark 2.3. A direct proof of the fact that $\tilde{f}$ is an homomorphism of PMV-algebras can be found in [20].

Corollary 2.2. *Let A, B be MV-algebras and $h : A \rightarrow B$ be an homomorphism of MV-algebras. Then there exists a unique homomorphism of PMV-algebras $h^\sharp : T(A) \rightarrow T(B)$ such that $h^\sharp \circ \epsilon_{1,A} = \epsilon_{1,B} \circ h$.*

Proof. It is straightforward, by Theorem 2.1 for $f = \epsilon_{1,B} \circ h$. $\square$

In the following theorem we apply the construction of the Tensor PMV-algebra to a Riesz MV-algebra.

Theorem 2.2. *Let A be a semisimple Riesz MV-algebra. Then $T(A)$ is a unital and semisimple fMV-algebra.*

Proof. We recall that by Theorem 1.2, any $T^n(A)$ is a Riesz MV-algebra.

Let $\mathbf{x} \in T(A)$, then there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{a} \in T^n(A)$ such that $\mathbf{x} = \epsilon_n(\mathbf{a})$. We define the scalar product on the direct limit as

$$\alpha \mathbf{x} = \epsilon_n(\alpha \mathbf{a}), \text{ for any } \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

It is easily seen that the operation is well defined. We shall prove that $T(A)$ is a Riesz MV-algebra according with the equivalent definition one can find in [11, Theorem 2].

Let $\mathbf{x} = \epsilon_n(\mathbf{a})$, $\mathbf{y} = \epsilon_m(\mathbf{b})$ and without loss of generality we assume $n \leq m$, and if the partial sum is defined we get

$$\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = \epsilon_n(\mathbf{a}) + \epsilon_m(\mathbf{b}) = \epsilon_m(\epsilon_{nm}(\mathbf{a})) + \epsilon_m(\mathbf{b}) = \epsilon_m(\epsilon_{nm}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathbf{b}).$$

Being any $T^n(A)$ a Riesz MV-algebra, and being any $\epsilon_{n,m}$ an homomorphism of Riesz MV-algebras by [11, Corollary 2], we entails:

$$1) \alpha(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \epsilon_m(\alpha(\epsilon_{n,m}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathbf{b})) = \epsilon_m(\epsilon_{n,m}(\alpha \mathbf{a})) + \epsilon_m(\alpha \mathbf{b}) = \epsilon_n(\alpha \mathbf{a}) + \epsilon_m(\alpha \mathbf{b}) = \alpha \mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{y}.$$

$$2) (\alpha + \beta)\mathbf{x} = \epsilon_n((\alpha + \beta)\mathbf{a}) = \epsilon_n(\alpha \mathbf{a} + \beta \mathbf{a}) = \epsilon_n(\alpha \mathbf{a}) + \epsilon_n(\beta \mathbf{a}) = \alpha \mathbf{x} + \beta \mathbf{x}.$$

$$3) (\alpha \cdot \beta)\mathbf{x} = \epsilon_n((\alpha \cdot \beta)\mathbf{a}) = \epsilon_n(\alpha(\beta \mathbf{a})) = \alpha \mathbf{y}, \text{ with } \mathbf{y} = \epsilon_n(\beta \mathbf{a}) \text{ and } \mathbf{y} = \epsilon_n(\beta \mathbf{a}) = \beta \mathbf{x}.$$

$$4) 1\mathbf{x} = \epsilon_n(1\mathbf{a}) = \epsilon_n(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{x}.$$

Hence, $T(A)$ is a unital PMV-algebra and a Riesz MV-algebra. Moreover, it satisfies the associativity law between products again by the functional representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) &= \epsilon_{n+m}(\alpha \gamma_{n,n}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})) = \epsilon_{n+m}(\alpha(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})) = \epsilon_{n+m}((\alpha \mathbf{a}) \cdot \mathbf{b}) = \\ &= \epsilon_{n+m}(\gamma_{n,m}(\alpha \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})) = (\alpha \mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{y}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way we prove that $\alpha(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x} \cdot (\alpha \mathbf{y})$. Hence $T(A)$ is a unital and semisimple f MV-algebra. $\square$

Theorem 2.3. *If A is a unital and semisimple PMV-algebra, then $A \simeq T(A)$.*

Proof. We will show that ϵ_1 gives the desired isomorphism. By Lemma 2.3, it is an embedding of A in $T(A)$. Moreover, being A a PMV-algebra, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$T^n(A) = \langle f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot f_n \mid f_i \in A \subseteq C(X) \rangle \subseteq A = T^1(A).$$

Let now $\mathbf{y}$ be an element of $T(A)$, with $\mathbf{y} = \epsilon_n(\mathbf{a})$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{a} \in T^n(A) \subseteq A$. Then $\mathbf{a} = \epsilon_{1,n}(\mathbf{a})$ and $\mathbf{y} = \epsilon_n(\mathbf{a}) = \epsilon_n(\epsilon_{1,n}(\mathbf{a})) = \epsilon_1(\mathbf{a})$, that is, ϵ_1 is surjective.

It remains to show that ϵ_1 is a homomorphism of PMV-algebras. By definition, $\epsilon_1(\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2) = \epsilon_2(\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_2) = \epsilon_2(\gamma_{1,1}(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2)) = \epsilon_1(\mathbf{a}_1) \cdot \epsilon_1(\mathbf{a}_2)$ by definition of $\cdot$ in $T(A)$. $\square$

Remark 2.4. A first attempt to make this construction in the general case can be found in [25]. The main proof was based on [19, Theorem 4.11], which turned out to contain a mistake and in this paper we correct it for the semisimple case. We urge the interested reader to consult [22, Remark 3.1] for a detailed account of the problem, which is mainly related to our impossibility to prove the scalar extension property in the non-semisimple case.

3 Categorical settings

In this section we complete the internal square of Figure 1, and finally connect all MV-algebraic structures involved in this work, via adjunctions.

3.1 From semisimple MV-algebras to unital and semisimple PMV-algebras

We define a functor $\mathbf{T} : \mathbf{MV}_{\text{ss}} \rightarrow \mathbf{uPMV}_{\text{ss}}$ as follows

- (i) for any $A \in \mathbf{MV}_{\text{ss}}$, $\mathbf{T}(A)$ is the tensor PMV-algebra $T(A)$. By Proposition 2.2 it is a unital and semisimple PMV-algebra.
- (ii) for any homomorphism of MV-algebras $h : A \rightarrow B$, $\mathbf{T}(h)$ is the homomorphism of PMV-algebra $h^\sharp$ defined in Corollary 2.2.

From $\mathbf{uPMV}_{\text{ss}}$ to $\mathbf{MV}_{\text{ss}}$ we have the usual forgetful functor $\mathcal{U}_{(\cdot)}$.

Lemma 3.1. *$\mathbf{T}$ is a functor.*

Proof. Denoted by $\mathbf{I}_A$ and $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{T}(A)}$ the identity map on A and $\mathbf{T}(A)$ respectively, it is easy to check that $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{T}(A)} \circ \epsilon_{1,A} = \epsilon_{1,A} \circ \mathbf{I}_A$, therefore $\mathbf{I}_A^\sharp = \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{T}(A)}$.

Let $h : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$ be homomorphisms of MV-algebras. We have

$$(g^\sharp \circ h^\sharp) \circ \epsilon_{1,A} = g^\sharp \circ (h^\sharp \circ \epsilon_{1,A}) = g^\sharp \circ (\epsilon_{1,B} \circ h) = (g^\sharp \circ \epsilon_{1,B}) \circ h = \epsilon_{1,C} \circ (g \circ h),$$

then $(g^\sharp \circ h^\sharp) = (g \circ h)^\sharp$ and $\mathbf{T}$ is a functor. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *The maps $\{\epsilon_{1,A}\}_{A \in \mathbf{MV}_{\text{ss}}}$ are a natural transformation between the identity functor on $\mathbf{MV}_{\text{ss}}$ and the composite functor $\mathcal{U}_{(\cdot)} \circ \mathbf{T}$.*

Proof. Let $h : A \rightarrow B$ be an homomorphism of MV-algebras. We need to prove that $(\mathcal{U}_{(\cdot)} \circ \mathbf{T})(h) \circ \epsilon_{1,A} = \epsilon_{1,B} \circ h$. Since $(\mathcal{U}_{(\cdot)} \circ \mathbf{T})(h) = h^\sharp$ the result follows from Corollary 2.2. $\square$

Theorem 3.1. *The functors $\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{(\cdot)}$ are an adjoint pair of functors.*

Proof. In order to prove that $\mathbf{T}$ is left adjoint functor of $\mathcal{U}_{(\cdot)}$, we need to prove that for any unital and semisimple PMV-algebra P and any homomorphism of MV-algebras $f : A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{(\cdot)}(P)$, with $A \in \mathbf{MV}_{\text{ss}}$, there exists a homomorphism of PMV-algebras $f^\# : \mathbf{T}(A) \rightarrow P$ such that $\mathcal{U}_{(\cdot)}(f^\#) \circ \iota_A = f$. This follows by Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.2. $\square$

3.2 From semisimple Riesz MV-algebras to unital and semisimple f MV-algebras

We define a functor $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{T}} : \mathbf{RMV}_{\text{ss}} \rightarrow \mathbf{ufMV}_{\text{ss}}$ as follows

- (i) for any $R \in \mathbf{RMV}_{\text{ss}}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{T}}(R)$ is the tensor PMV-algebra $T(R)$. By Theorem 2.2 it is a unital and semisimple f MV-algebra.
- (ii) for any homomorphism of Riesz MV-algebras $h : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$, $\mathcal{F}(h)$ is the homomorphism $h^\#$ defined in Proposition 2.2. It is an homomorphism of f MV-algebras by [11, Corollary 3.11].

From $\mathbf{ufMV}_{\text{ss}}$ to $\mathbf{RMV}_{\text{ss}}$ we have the usual forgetful functor $\mathcal{U}_{(\cdot)}$.

Theorem 3.2. $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{T}}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{(\cdot)}$ are an adjoint pair of functors. The maps $\{\epsilon_{1,R}\}_{R \in \mathbf{RMV}_{\text{ss}}}$ are a natural transformation between the identity functor on $\mathbf{RMV}_{\text{ss}}$ and the composite functor $\mathcal{U}_{(\cdot)} \circ \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. It is similar to the proofs of Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.1. $\square$

3.3 Closing the circle

In Section 1.2 we have described the following adjunctions:

- 1) $(\mathcal{T}_{\otimes}, \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{R}})$ between semisimple MV-algebras and semisimple Riesz MV-algebras,
- 2) $(\mathcal{F}_{\otimes}, \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{R}})$ between unital and semisimple PMV-algebras and unital and semisimple f MV-algebras.

Together with the results of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, we have two different paths from semisimple MV-algebras to unital and semisimple f MV-algebras, as displayed in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{MV}_{\text{ss}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}_{\otimes}} & \mathbf{RMV}_{\text{ss}} \\
 \downarrow \mathbf{T} & & \downarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{T}} \\
 \mathbf{uPMV}_{\text{ss}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{\otimes}} & \mathbf{ufMV}_{\text{ss}}
 \end{array}$$

Figure 4. From MV-algebras to f MV-algebras.

Theorem 3.3. *The functors $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{T}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\otimes}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\otimes} \circ \mathbf{T}$ are naturally isomorphic.*

Proof. It follows by well-known properties of the adjoint functors [27], since the functors $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{T}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\otimes}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\otimes} \circ \mathbf{T}$ are both adjoints of the forgetful functor from $\mathbf{ufMV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$ to $\mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$.

A direct proof can be found in [20]. $\square$

Remark 3.1. Note that we obtained an adjunction between $\mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$ and $\mathbf{ufMV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$. If A is a semisimple MV-algebra then $[0, 1] \otimes \mathbf{T}(A) \simeq \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{T}}([0, 1] \otimes A)$ and this will be called the *semisimple tensor fMV-algebra* of A .

3.4 A direct application: the amalgamation property

The results of Section 2 are not only useful to give a complete picture of the relationship along the hierarchy of MV-algebras with product, but they can be used to infer new relevant results on the classes of algebras involved. An example of this is the amalgamation property for semisimple Riesz MV-algebras, unital and semisimple PMV-algebras and unital and semisimple fMV-algebras.

Proposition 3.1. *$\mathbf{uPMV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$, $\mathbf{ufMV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$ and $\mathbf{RMV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$ have the amalgamation property.*

Proof. We give the complete proof for $\mathbf{uPMV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$. Let A, B, Z be unital and semisimple PMV-algebras such that Z embeds in both A and B , with embeddings z_A and z_B . We have to prove that there exists a unital and semisimple PMV-algebra E such that both A and B embed in E , with embeddings f_A and f_B and $f_B \circ z_B = f_A \circ z_A$.

We consider the MV-algebra reducts of A, B and Z . By [33, Theorem 2.20], there exists a MV-algebra C and f_A, f_B embeddings such that $f_A : A \hookrightarrow C$, $f_B : B \hookrightarrow C$. It is easy to prove that, since we deal with semisimple algebras, $\pi \circ f_A$ and $\pi \circ f_B$ are embeddings of A and B respectively in $D = C / \text{Rad}(C)$. By Lemma 2.3 $D = T^1(D)$ embeds in $T(D)$ with embedding h . We get two embeddings $\overline{f_A} : A \hookrightarrow T(D)$ and $\overline{f_B} : B \hookrightarrow T(D)$, where $\overline{f_A} = \epsilon_{1,D} \circ \pi \circ f_A$ and $\overline{f_B} = \epsilon_{1,D} \circ \pi \circ f_B$.




Figure 5. Amalgamation property.

By [33, Theorem 2.20] $f_A \circ z_A = f_B \circ z_B$, therefore the diagram commutes. Since A and B are unital and commutative, the corresponding f -rings are Archimedean. By [8, 17], any homomorphism of ℓ -groups between Archimedean f -rings commutes with the product, then $\overline{f_A}$ and $\overline{f_B}$ are homomorphism of PMV-algebras.

The proofs for $\mathbf{ufMV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$ and $\mathbf{RMV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$ are similar: we shall further embed $T(D)$ in $[0, 1] \otimes T(D)$ in the case of f MV-algebras and D in $[0, 1] \otimes D$ in the case of Riesz MV-algebras. Moreover, we shall use [21, Proposition 3.2] and, respectively [11, Corollary 2] in order to get the intended type of morphisms. $\square$

4 From MV-algebras to ℓu -groups

In what follows, we transfer the results of the previous sections to groups and we define the *tensor fu-ring* of an Archimedean ℓu -group, by categorical equivalence. We first remark the following.

Theorem 4.1. [22] 1) If V is a Riesz space with strong unit and G is an Archimedean ℓu -group, $V \otimes_a G$ is a Riesz space with strong unit.

2) If R and S are unital and Archimedean ℓu -rings, $R \otimes_a S$ is a unital and Archimedean ℓu -ring.

3) If V is a unital and Archimedean fu -algebra and R is a unital and Archimedean ℓu -ring, $V \otimes_a R$ is a unital and Archimedean fu -algebra.

Let Λ be the inverse functor of Γ and Λ_* be the inverse functor of Γ_* , with $*$ $\in \{\cdot, \mathbb{R}, f\}$. For the detailed construction of Λ , we refer to [7].

Definition 4.1. Let (G, u) an Archimedean ℓu -group, $A = \Gamma(G, u)$ is a semisimple MV-algebra and $T(A)$ is its tensor PMV-algebra, which is unital and semisimple.

$(R, v) = \Lambda_{(\cdot)}(T(A))$ will be the unital and Archimedean *tensor fu-ring* of (G, u) and will be denoted by $T(G, u)$.

Let us remark that $\otimes_a$ is associative by Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 2.1. Hence, we can safely define $T^n(G, u)$ as $\overbrace{G \otimes_a \dots \otimes_a G}^n$. Again by 1.3 we entail

$$\Gamma(T^n(G, u)) \simeq T^n(A).$$

By categorical equivalence, any embedding $\epsilon_{n,m}$ extend to an embedding

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,m} : T^n(G, u) \rightarrow T^m(G, u),$$

and any embedding $\epsilon_{n,A}$ extends to an embedding

$$\epsilon_{n,G} : T^n(G, u) \rightarrow T(G, u), \text{ where } n = 1 \text{ entails that } (G, u) \text{ embeds in } T(G, u).$$

Theorem 4.2. $(T(G, u), \epsilon_{n,G})$ is the direct limit of the direct system $(T^n(G, u), \tilde{\epsilon}_{n,m})$.

Indeed, it satisfies the following universal property:

for any f -ring (T, w) and any homomorphism $f : (G, u) \rightarrow (T, w)$ there exists $g : T(G, u) \rightarrow (T, w)$ such that $g \circ \epsilon_{1,G} = f$.

Proof. For any f -ring (T, w) and any collection of maps $\delta_n : T^n(G, u) \rightarrow T$ such that $\delta_m \circ \tilde{\epsilon}_{n,m} = \delta_n$ we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T^n(G, u) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,m}} & T^m(G, u) \\
 \delta_n \searrow & \epsilon_{n,G} \searrow & \epsilon_{m,G} \searrow \\
 & T(G, u) & \\
 & \downarrow f & \\
 & (T, w) &
 \end{array}$$

Figure 6. Universal property.

The existence of f follows by the construction of $T(A)$ as direct limit and by categorical equivalence, therefore $(T(G, u), \epsilon_{n,G})$ is the direct limit of the direct system $(T^n(G, u), \tilde{\epsilon}_{n,m})$. □

Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.3, Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 ensure that we can safely apply Λ to the diagram from Section 3.3. Denoted by $\mathbf{auG}_a$, $\mathbf{uR}_a$, $\mathbf{uRS}_a$ and $\mathbf{fuAlg}_a$ the categories of Archimedean ℓu -groups, unital and Archimedean ℓu -rings, Archimedean Riesz spaces with strong unit and unital and Archimedean fu -algebras respectively we get

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{auG}_a & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}_{\otimes_a}} & \mathbf{uRS}_a \\
 \mathbf{T}_a \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{T}_a} \\
 \mathbf{uR}_a & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{\otimes_a}} & \mathbf{fuAlg}_a
 \end{array}$$

Figure 7. From ℓu -groups to fu -algebras.

Moreover, applying the converses of the functors Γ and $\Gamma_{(\cdot)}$, $(\mathbf{T}, \mathcal{U}_{(\cdot)})$ extends to $(\mathbf{T}_a, \mathcal{U}_\ell)$. This is an adjunction between $\mathbf{auG}_a$ and $\mathbf{uR}_a$. Applying the converses of the functors $\Gamma_{(\mathbb{R})}$ and Γ_f , $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{T}}, \mathcal{U}_{(\cdot)})$ extends to $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{T}_a}, \mathcal{U}_\ell)$. This is an adjunction between $\mathbf{uRS}_a$ and $\mathbf{fuAlg}_a$.

Remark 4.1. If (G, u) is an Archimedean ℓu -group, we can say that $\mathbf{T}_a(G, u)$ is the *Archimedean tensor f -ring* of (G, u) and $\mathcal{F}_{\otimes a}(\mathbf{T}_a(G, u))$ is *Archimedean tensor f -algebra* of (G, u) .

Finally, the below diagram – Figure 8 – adds the missing adjunctions to Figure 1.




Figure 8. The complete commutative diagram.

The following result is a straightforward consequence.

Corollary 4.1. $\mathbf{uR}_a$, $\mathbf{fuAlg}_a$ and $\mathbf{uRS}_a$ have the amalgamation property.

Proof. It is easily deduced from Proposition 3.1 and the Γ - type categorical equivalences. $\square$

5 Description of free objects

We close this paper providing a characterization of the free PMV-algebra, of the free f MV-algebra and of the free Riesz MV-algebra. We recall that the standard MV-algebra $[0, 1]$ can be regarded as a PMV-algebra and a Riesz MV-algebra when the product and the scalar multiplication are equal with the product of real numbers: in the sequel will denote the standard models in the obvious varieties by $[0, 1]_{MV} = ([0, 1], \oplus, *, 0)$, $[0, 1]_{PMV} = ([0, 1], \oplus, *, \cdot, 0)$, $[0, 1]_{fMV} = ([0, 1], \oplus, *, \cdot, \{\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0, 1]}, 0)$.

Remark 5.1. Let $n \geq 1$. As stated in Section 1.1, the free PMV-algebra with n free generators in $\mathbf{HSP}([0, 1]_{PMV})$ exists and its elements are term functions defined on $[0, 1]$. More precisely, for any $n \geq 1$, assume $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and

let $Term_n$ be the set of terms with variables from X in the language of PMV-algebras. We denote by $Free_{PMV}(n)$ the free PMV-algebra in $HSP([0, 1]_{PMV})$ with n free generators. It follows that

$$Free_{PMV}(n) = \{\tilde{t} \mid t \in Term_n, \tilde{t} : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ is the term function of } t\}.$$

Since $Free_{PMV}(n)$ is a subalgebra of $[0, 1]^{[0, 1]^n}$ it follows that $Free_{PMV}(n)$ is unital and semisimple.

Let $Free_{MV}(n)$ and $Free_{RMV}(n)$ be the free MV-algebra and, respectively, the free Riesz MV-algebra over n generators. Let $Free_{fMV}(n)$ be the free f MV-algebra over n generators in $HSP([0, 1]_{fMV})$, the variety of f MV-algebras generated by $[0, 1]$. See more details in [7, 29, 11, 21].

Proposition 5.1. *For $n \geq 1$, the following hold:*

- (i) $Free_{RMV}(n) \simeq [0, 1] \otimes Free_{MV}(n)$,
- (ii) $Free_{PMV}(n) \simeq \mathbf{T}(Free_{MV}(n))$,
- (iii) $Free_{fMV}(n) \simeq [0, 1] \otimes \mathbf{T}(Free_{MV}(n)) \simeq \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{T}}([0, 1] \otimes Free_{MV}(n))$.

Proof. (i) It is [22, Proposition 5.1].

(ii) Assume P is a unital and semisimple PMV-algebra and $f : X \rightarrow P$ is a function, with $|X| = n$. Hence there is a unique homomorphism of MV-algebras $f^\# : Free_{MV}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{(\cdot)}(P)$ which extends f . Being free algebras semisimple, Theorem 2.1 ensure that there exists a homomorphism of PMV-algebras $\tilde{f} : T(Free_{MV}(n)) \rightarrow P$ such that $\tilde{f} \circ \epsilon_{1, Free_{MV}(n)} = f^\#$. The uniqueness of $\tilde{f}$ is a consequence of the uniqueness of $f^\#$. Since $\epsilon_{1, Free_{MV}(n)}$ is an embedding we have $X \simeq \epsilon_{1, Free_{MV}(n)}(X)$ and $T(Free_{MV}(n))$ is the free object in $\mathbf{uPMV}_{ss}$. Being $Free_{PMV}(n)$ an object in $\mathbf{uPMV}_{ss}$, we entail that $T(Free_{MV}(n)) \simeq Free_{PMV}(n)$.

(iii) It follows from (ii), [22, Proposition 5.1] and Theorem 3.3 with similar arguments. $\square$

Acknowledgement

S. Lapenta acknowledge partial support from the Italian National Research Project (PRIN2010-11) entitled *Metodi logici per il trattamento dell'informazione*. I. Leuştean was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research and Innovation, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-RU-TE-2014-4-0730.

References

- [1] Bigard A., Keimel K., Wolfenstein S., *Groupes et anneaux réticulés*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 608, Springer-Verlag (1977).
- [2] Birkhoff G., *Lattice Theory*, AMS Coll. Publ. 25 3rd Ed. (1973).
- [3] Birkhoff G., Pierce R.S., *Lattice-ordered rings*, An. Acad. Brasil. Cienc. 28 (1956) 41–69.
- [4] Bourbaki N., *Elements of mathematics. Theory of sets*, Springer (1968). Translated from the French.
- [5] Buskes G.J.H.M., Van Rooij A.C.M., *The Archimedean ℓ -group tensor product*, Order 10 (1993) 93–102.
- [6] Chang C.C., *Algebraic analysis of many valued logics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1958) 467–490.
- [7] Cignoli R., D’Ottaviano I.M.L., Mundici D., *Algebraic foundation of many valued Reasoning*, Kluwer, Dordrecht (2000).
- [8] Conrad P., *The additive group of an f -ring*, Can. J. Math. 26(5) (1974) 1157–1168.
- [9] Di Nola A., Dvurecenskij A., *Product MV-algebras*, Multiple-Valued Logics 6 (2001) 193–215.
- [10] Di Nola A., Flondor P., Leustean I., *MV-modules*, Journal of Algebra 267(1) (2003) 21–40.
- [11] Di Nola A., Leustean I., *Lukasiewicz logic and Riesz Spaces*, Soft Comp. 18(12) (2014) 2349–2363.
- [12] Di Nola A., Leuştean I., *Lukasiewicz Logic and MV-algebras*, Handbook of Mathematical Fuzzy Logic, Vol II (Cintula et al., eds). Studies in Logic 58, College Publications, London, 2015.
- [13] Di Nola A., Lenzi G., Vitale G., *Riesz-McNaughton functions and Riesz MV-algebras of nonlinear functions*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems (2016) DOI:10.1016/j.fss.2016.03.003.
- [14] Flaminio T., Kroupa Tomas, *States of MV-algebras*, Handbook of Mathematical Fuzzy Logic Vol III (Cintula et al., eds). Studies in Logic 37, College Publications, London, 2011.

- [15] Flondor P., Leuştean I., *Tensor Product of MV-algebras*, Soft Computing 7 (2003) 446–457.
- [16] Grätzer G., *Universal Algebra*, Springer 2nd Ed with updates (2008).
- [17] Hager A.W., Martinez J., *Functorial rings of quotients - III: the maximum for Archimedean f-rings*, Jour. Pure and Appl. Alg 169 (2002) 51–69.
- [18] Horčík R., Cintula P., *Product Łukasiewicz logic*, Archive for Mathematical Logic, 43(4) (2004) 477–503.
- [19] Flondor P., Leuştean I., *Tensor Product of MV-algebras*, Soft Computing 7 (2003) 446–457.
- [20] Lapenta S., *MV-algebras with products: connecting the Pierce-Birkhoff conjecture with Łukasiewicz logic*, PhD Thesis (2015).
- [21] Lapenta S., Leuştean I. *Towards understanding the Pierce-Birkhoff conjecture via MV-algebras*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 276 (2015) 114–130.
- [22] Lapenta S., Leuştean I., *Scalar extensions for the algebraic structures of Łukasiewicz logic*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 220 (2016) 1538–1553.
- [23] Lapenta S., Leuştean I., *A general view on normal form theorems for Łukasiewicz logic with product*, in "Concepts of Proof in Mathematics, Philosophy and Computer Science". Dieter Probst and Peter Schuster (eds.). Ontos Mathematical Logic. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin. To appear.
- [24] Lapenta S., Leuştean I., *Notes on DMV-algebras*, submitted, arXiv:1605.01230 [math.LO].
- [25] Leuştean I., *The tensor PMV-algebra of an MV-algebra*, Proceeding of the 41st IEEE International Symposium on Multiple Valued Logic (2011).
- [26] Martinez J., *Tensor Product of partially ordered groups*, Pac. J. Math. 41 (1972) 771–789.
- [27] Mac Lane S., *Categories for the working mathematician*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics Springer (1998).
- [28] McNaughton R., *A theorem about infinite-valued sentential logic*, Journal of Symbolic Logic 16 (1951) 1–13.
- [29] Montagna F., *An algebraic approach to Propositional Fuzzy Logic*, Journal of Logic, Language and Information 9 (2000) 91–124.

- [30] Montagna F., Panti G., *Adding structure to MV-algebras*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra 164 (2001) 365–387.
- [31] Mundici D., *Interpretation of ACF*-algebras in Łukasiewicz sentential calculus*, J. Funct. Anal. 65 (1986) 15–63.
- [32] Mundici D., *Tensor products and the Loomis-Sikorski theorem for MV-algebras*, Advanced in Applied Mathematics 22 (1999) 227–248.
- [33] Mundici D., *Advances in Łukasiewicz calculus and MV-algebras*, Trends in Logic 35 Springer (2011).
- [34] Rose A., Rosser J.B., *Fragments of many-valued statement calculi*, Trans. A.M.S. 87 (1958) 1–53.